Collected Rules and Regulations

Facilities and Equipment Management

Chapter 110: Use of Facilities and Equipment

110.005 Acceptable Use Policy

Bd. Min. 9-14-00.

This policy applies to all users including faculty, staff, students, and guest users of University of Missouri computer networks, equipment, or connecting resources.

A. University Inspection of Personal Electronic Information – All information technology resources, including computer networks, equipment and connected resources, provided by the University of Missouri are the property of the University. The University values the principles of academic freedom and privacy and does not condone casual inspection of the information contained within or transmitted via these resources. However, electronic information on University networks or equipment, including, but not limited to, electronic mail and personal information, is subject to examination by the University — where including but not limited to the following circumstances:

1. It is necessary to maintain or improve the functioning of University computing resources;
2. There is a suspicion of misconduct under University policies, or suspicion of violation of Federal or State laws; or
3. It is necessary to comply with or verify compliance with Federal or State law including e-discovery procedures; or,
4. If it will serve a legitimate business need of the University.

B. Acceptable Use Guidelines

1. Responsibilities of Users of University Computer Resources:

   a. Respect the intellectual property rights of authors, contributors, and publishers in all media.
   b. Protect user ID, password, and system from unauthorized use.
   c. Adhere to the terms of software licenses and other contracts. Persons loading software on any University computer must adhere to all licensing requirements for the software. Except where allowed by University site licenses, copying software licensed for University use for personal use is a violation of this policy.
   d. Adhere to other University and campus policies, including the Collected Rules and Regulations of the University of Missouri, and, if applicable, the University Business Policy Manual, Human Resources Manual and policies established for a specific resource.
   e. Adhere to data access policies of the University or those established by law.
f. Use University computer resources in a manner that is compliant with University policies and State and Federal law.

2. Prohibited Uses of University Computer Resources:

a. Unauthorized or excessive personal use. Use may be excessive if it overburdens a network, results in substantial use of system capacity, or otherwise subjects the institution to increased costs or risks (employees additionally may be subject to discipline for unauthorized or excessive personal use of computer resources.)

b. Uses that interfere with the proper functioning of the University's information technology resources.

c. Uses that unreasonably interfere with the ability of others to make use of University computer resources.

d. Attempting to gain or gaining unauthorized access to the computer system, or files of another.

e. Use of University computer resources to infringe the intellectual property rights of others.

f. Use of University computer resources for personal profit, except as permitted under the University's conflict of interest policy.

C. Enforcement of Acceptable Use Policy -- Violation of the Acceptable Use Policy may result in a denial of access to University computer-information technology resources, and those disciplinary actions provided or authorized by the Collected Rules and Regulations of the University of Missouri or other appropriate disciplinary actions.
Proposal to Modify the Acceptable Use Policy
Discussion Paper - December 18, 2008 (Updated 5/14/09)

University information technology (IT) resources and the data that resides within those resources are regularly assigned to individual employees. These resources include email accounts, central storage, and desktop or laptop computers (to name a few), and are secured through the use of the employee’s IT account and password. There are many circumstances in which IT staff are asked to provide access to electronic resources managed by individual employees. The most common reasons include situations where an employee has left their position, is unexpectedly unavailable because of an illness, accident, termination, or death. Statistics about the requests received by the Columbia campus and examples of the types of requests are provided in Attachment B.

The University’s Acceptable Use Policy (AUP), found in the Collected Rules and Regulations as CRR 110.005, lists a set of reasons for which inspection is permitted. The AUP specifically says:

*University Inspection of Personal Electronic Information* -- *Electronic information on University networks or equipment, including, but not limited to, electronic mail and personal information, is subject to examination by the University when:*

1. *It is necessary to maintain or improve the functioning of University computing resources;*
2. *There is a suspicion of misconduct under University policies, or suspicion of violation of Federal or State laws; or*
3. *It is necessary to comply with or verify compliance with Federal or State law.*

The AUP does not address circumstances when an individual is unexpectedly unavailable. Therefore, the AUP should be modified to allow for examination of electronic information when:

4. **It will serve the legitimate business need of the University**

Because “legitimate business need” is difficult to define, a standard procedure should be implemented to manage requests of this type. Requests for access are often-almost always time sensitive and often warrant 24-hour turn around. Therefore, adoption of a standard procedure must ensure requests can be processed in a timely manner.

See Attachment A for proposed procedural requirements. Once approved, these procedures should be incorporated into the UM Information Security Program.
Attachment A  
Proposed Electronic Access Procedures

Standard procedures to provide access to electronically held information should include the following provisions. Because of organizational differences, the titles of approving authority may vary from campus to campus.

General Guidelines

1) Requests should be managed by the Information Security department for each business entity unless the request affects an individual working for that department or an individual in the chain-of-command of that department.

2) Requests should be fully documented and retained in accordance with University records retention policies.

3) In all cases, access will be granted to a specified individual using that individual’s own credentials. Access will not be granted by providing the owner’s credentials to the requestor.

Processing Guidelines

Request processing guidelines should include:

1) The specific reason for the request

2) The specific IT resources for which access is being requested - blanket authorizations to peruse unrelated data locations will not be provided.

3) An approval by someone in the individual’s chain-of-command but at least 2 levels above (i.e., an employee’s direct supervisor cannot approve the request).

4) A check for pending grievances or litigation between the individual and their co-workers, their supervisors or the University.

Approvals

In addition to the approval obtained in item #3 in the previous section, the following additional approvals should be obtained:

1) If the request affects a faculty member, an approval by a the faculty council chair or designee representative.

2) An approval by one of the following University administrators at the Chancellor, Vice Chancellor, Provost or Vice Provost level as follows:
   i. Students including student employees – Student Affairs
   ii. Staff – Administrative Services or Human Resources
   iii. Faculty – approval from the Chancellor or Provost or designee

3) Approval by the business unit Chief Information Officer (CIO) or designee
Preservation Activities

When there is a concern about the loss of data through automatic deletion cycles or due to scheduled overwriting of data storage sectors, the business unit CIOs can approve the preservation of the requested data prior to receiving the appropriate inspection approvals.
Attachment B

Number and Types of Requests Received by the MU Campus

The Division of IT has processed the following number and type of requests since December, 2005:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Requests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YTD</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 50% have been due to the employee leaving the University, 22% have been due to termination, 14% due to a death, and 14% due to being placed on leave or from incapacitation.
- There were no requests for individuals holding a ranked faculty title.
- The following types of access were requested:
  - 94% Access to email
  - 10% Access to university owned computer
  - 23% Access to central file storage location

Information collected from UMKC, UMSL, and S&T indicates that in the last 3 years there were a total of 6 requests for access to a ranked faculty member’s email account and all of the requests were due to death.

Examples

An administrative assistant within an academic unit left the University. Her email address had been published on Web pages and in print form to collect internship applications. Without access to her email account, the college could not respond to pending and new applications. Additionally, some of the applications had been saved within a centrally managed file storage location that only the employee could access.

A business manager with an academic unit left the University. She had important and sensitive financial data on her computer and in her email account that wasn’t available from any other source. The department requested access in order to move relevant financial files to another location.

An office support person in an administrative unit was terminated. This employee was responsible for setting up audio conference calls for customers. The calls were scheduled using her email account. The department needed access to ensure they could continue to manage and schedule conference calls until the work assignment could be transitioned to a new employee.

An employee, responsible for shipping containers between the University and its customers, passed away. The department needed access to the employee’s email account to determine the status of pending orders and access to his computer to find shipping documents that had been saved there.

A Research Fellow passed away suddenly. She was partially funded through an NIH training grant and had data in her email account and on her computer related to her investigations. Access was requested by her faculty advisor.

A Human Resource Specialist left the University. Her email account contained unresolved HR issues, recruitment activities and other HR related activities. The department requested access in order to continue to manage ongoing hiring activities and to ensure pending HR issues were addressed.
D. 5. (current version)

Faculty-Authorized Textbooks and Other Educational Materials. Textbooks, tapes, software and other materials authored by the course instructor may be assigned to be purchased by students for a course taught by the author if the royalties arising from the purchase of the assigned materials are returned to the University of Missouri, another educational institution, a charitable organization, or a not-for-profit foundation. Any proceeds from other University uses of such materials, such as purchase by the library, shall be the property of the faculty member.

D. 5. (modified)

Faculty-authored Textbooks, Selection of Course Textbooks and Other Educational Materials. Textbooks, tapes, software and other materials authored by the course instructor or any other campus employee may be assigned to be purchased by students for a course taught by the author by anyone on the campus. If the royalties arising from the purchase of the assigned materials are returned to the University of Missouri, another educational institution, a charitable organization, or a not-for-profit foundation. Any proceeds from other University uses of such materials, such as purchase by the library, shall be the property of the faculty member. Course instructors or any other employee responsible for selecting, ordering or assigning course materials cannot accept gifts, development grants, or other compensation from any company or organization that would benefit from adoption of course textbooks, tapes, software or other educational material. See 330.015 section C for a description of gifts.
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